GUIDELINES FOR REVIEW OF CASE REPORT

SCORE GUIDE (To be used by the Referee/Reviewer)

Not Given/Not Relevant	0
Below Standard	1
Average	2
Satisfactory	3
Good	4
Excellent	5
Not Applicable	NA

Manuscript Reg. No...... Reviewer's Name......

Part No.	Description of the Part of Manuscript	Score
1.	TITLE 1. Accurate 2. Effective 3. Represents	
2.	ABSTRACT 1. Size of abstract (200)	
3.	A) CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE B) FUNDING SOURCES ACKNOWLEDGED	
4.	INTRODUCTION 1. If start relevant	
5.	AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY Are clearly stated Are addressed in the study	

Part No.	Description of the Part of Manuscript	Score
6.	Material and Methods	
	Patient characteristics/relevant demographics	
	Presenting complaints/concerns	
	3. Relevant details of related past interventions	
	4. Clinical findings	
	a) Medical, family and psychosocial history	
	b) Pertinent co morbidities and interventions	
	c) Physical examination	
	5. Timeline	
	6. Diagnostic focus and assessment	
	a) Diagnostic methods	
	b) Diagnostic challenges	
	c) Diagnostic reasoning	
	d) Prognostic characteristics	
	7. Therapeutic focus and assessment	
	·	
	a) Types of interventions b) Administration and intensity of intervention	
	b) Administration and intensity of intervention	
	8. Follow up and outcomes	
	a) intervention modification, interruption, or	
	discontinuation and reasons	
	b) adherence to intervention and how it was assessed	
	c) adverse effects or unanticipated events	
	d) patient reported outcomes	
	e) clinician assessed and reported outcomes	
	f) important positive and negative results	
	Ethical permissions mentioned	
	a) Informed consent form	
	b) Institutional/ departmental review board	
7.	Discussion	
	1. Important findings and results discussed	
	New emerging findings discussed	
	3. Results of the study discussed with others in literature	
	4. Questions raised in the study	
	5. Strengths mentioned	
	6. Weakness mentioned	
	7. Take away message	
8.	Conclusions	
	1. Conclusions justified by the results/findings	
	2. Conclusions relevant to the aims and objectives of the	
	study	
	3. Conclusions based on critical argument	
9.	Written English	
	1. Spellings	
	2. Grammar	
	Totali	

Guidelines for Reviewers of Journal of Society of Prevention, Advocacy and Research, KEMU

Patient perspective: (Whenever appropriate the patient should share their experience of their care in a narrative published within this case report or accompanying this case report)			
REPORT (State clearly approved-advised revision rejected)			
Reviewer Signature	Date		